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## Introduction



A prompt photon is one that emerges directly from a perturbative QCD process. LO diagrams are illustrated above: (a) direct, in which the entire incoming photon interacts, (c) resolved, in which a parton from the photon interacts.

Higher order pQCD processes occur and also
"fragmentation" processes (b, d).

## Motivation

- Prompt (isolated, high $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) photons are a useful tool to study and test QCD.
- Their measurements are more precise than hadronic jets.
- Prompt photons can be used to measure and constrain the pdfs of proton and photon.
- Looking at two new variables:
- $x_{p}$ - measures longitudinal momentum transfer from proton sensitive to PDF and modelling of parton in proton - interesting to see LMZ description of this with $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{T}}$-factorisation.
- $\Delta \Phi$ - the azimuthal difference between the photon and the jet, sensitive to higher order processes.
- Study of three regions of $x_{y}$ - longitudinal momentum transfer from photon, resolved- and direct-enhanced:

$$
x_{\mathrm{V}}<0.7, x_{\mathrm{y}}<0.8 \text { and } \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{r}}>0.8
$$

## Data Samples

Data: HERA II 04p, 04/05e, 06e, 06p, 07p (Common Ntuples v06d) $374 \mathrm{pb}^{-1}$
MC Signal: 04p, 05e, 06e, 06p, 07p (CN v06b PYTHIA) Direct, Resolved
MC Background: 04p, 04/05e, 06e, 06p, 07p (CN v06b PYTHIA - Heavy Flavour Group, Jet - Sebastian's + Filtered)
Direct, Resolved

## Cuts

## Event Selection

Trigger HPP16 on
$0.2<\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{JB}}<0.7$
$\mid$ Zvtx $\mid<40 \mathrm{~cm}$
$\mid$ BCAL time $\mid<10 \mathrm{~ns}$
Cal $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}<10 \mathrm{GeV}$
No SINISTRA electron with Prob $>0.9$ and $\mathrm{Yel}<0.7$

## Prompt Photon Selection

Tufo[0] $=31$
$-0.7<\eta^{\text {zufo }}<0.9$
$6<\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {zufo }}<15 \mathrm{GeV}$
Ezufo $^{\text {zuet }}>0.9$
ZufoEemc/ZufoEcal>0.9
track isolation in cone 0.2
$\mathrm{x}_{\gamma}<0.7, \mathrm{x}_{\gamma}<0.8$ or $\mathrm{x}_{\gamma}>0.8$

## Jet Selection

$-1.5<\eta^{\text {jet }}<1.8$
$4<\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{\text {jet }}<35 \mathrm{GeV}$

## Truth level selection

$\mathrm{Q}^{2}<1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
$0.2<\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{JB}}<0.7$
Particle type 29
$-0.7<\eta^{\text {particle }}<0.9$
$6<\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{\text {particle }}<15 \mathrm{GeV}$
Eparticle $^{\text {per }}{ }^{\text {jet }}>0.9$

## Theory

FGH (Fontannaz, Guillet and Heinrich) - the LO and NLO diagrams and the box-diagram term are calculated explicitly. Fragmentation processes calculated in terms of fragmentation function.

LMZ (Lipatov, Malyshev and Zotov) - $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{T}}$-factorisation method makes use of unintegrated parton densities in the proton. Fragmentation terms are not included. The box diagram is included together with $2 \rightarrow 3$ subprocesses:
$\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{p}_{3}\right)$
$\mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{g}^{*}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{qbar}\left(\mathrm{p}_{3}\right)$
$\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)$.
Now gq $\rightarrow \mathrm{yq}$ process is also included except several distributions. Waiting for the update.

## Cross sections. $X_{b}$
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Reasonable description of data by theory. New hadronisation corrections are applied to theory. Only resolved had. corr. is used in $x_{v}<0.7, x_{v}<0.8$ regions and direct in $x_{v}<0.8$. Inner and outer error bars - statistical uncertainties and statistical and systematic in quadrature.

## Cross sections. $\Delta \Phi$
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Reasonable description by theory, however there is an overestimation in the 0-90 bin that is coming from $x_{y}<0.7$ range in $F G H$ and underestimation in $90-170^{\circ}$ in LMZ in low $x_{r}$ region.

## Cross sections. $E_{T}{ }^{\gamma}$

FGH describes data within errors. LMZ tends to underestimate low $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{v}}$ region.
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## Cross sections. $\eta^{\gamma}$

## ZEUS

FGH describes data within errors. LMZ tends to underestimate low $x_{v}$ region.
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## Cross sections. $E^{\text {jet }}$ T

 ZEUSFirst two FGH $E_{T}^{\text {jet }}$ (4-6 and 6-8 GeV) bins are combined due to singularity. Reasonable description of data. LMZ tends to underestimate low $x_{V}$ region.
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## Cross sections. $\eta^{\text {jet }}$

## ZEUS

Apart from overestimating low $\eta^{\text {jet }}$ FGH describes data within errors. LMZ tends to underestimate low $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{y}}$ region.
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## Consistency check

|  | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{r}}>0.8$ |  |  | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{v}}<0.8$ |  |  | Sum |  |  | All $\mathrm{x}_{v}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FGH | LMZ | Data | FGH | LMZ | Data | FGH | LMZ | Data | FGH | LMZ | Data |
| $\eta^{\text {jet }}$ | 13.49 | 16.47 | 13.13 | 7.1 | 4.34 | 8.47 | 20.59 | 20.81 | 21.6 | 22.47 | 20.34 | 22.16 |
| $E_{\text {T }}^{\text {jet * }}$ | 12.52 | 16.06 | 12.83 | 6.74 | 4.18 | 8.52 | 19.26 | 20.24 | 21.35 | 20.9 | 19.31 | 21.76 |
| $\eta^{\gamma}$ | 12.97 | 16.72 | 12.97 | 6.97 | 4.31 | 8.53 | 19.94 | 21.03 | 21.5 | 21.58 | 20.82 | 21.58 |
| $E_{\text {T }}{ }^{\text {V }}$ | 12.9 | 16.72 | 13.22 | 6.92 | 4.29 | 8.71 | 19.82 | 21.01 | 21.93 | 21.48 | 20.53 | 22.44 |

*     - bin $15<\mathrm{E}_{T}^{\text {jet }}<35 \mathrm{GeV}$ is not included in this sum.

There is an agreement within errors between the sum of different ranges of $x_{v}$ and the full $x_{v}$ range in theories and data.

## Conclusion

Cross section comparison with FGH and LMZ in $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{y}}<0.8$ range shown, new hadronisation corrections applied.

Both theories provide good description within errors in $x_{v}>0.8$, but there is an overestimation in $0<\Delta \Phi<90^{\circ}$ bin that is mostly likely coming from $x_{v}<0.7$ region. LMZ tends to underestimate low $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{V}}$ region.

## Future plans

Reach agreement on corrections between analyses.
Obtain and add the rest of LMZ predictions.
DIS contamination study.

## Backup slides

## $<\delta Z>$ Fits in $\Delta \Phi$ bins. All x



First bin $0<\Delta \Phi<90^{\circ}$ :
$\chi^{2} /$ n.d.f. $=1.05625$
fitted photons $212 \pm 36$
Bin looks good

## $<\delta Z>$ Fits in $\Delta \Phi$ bins. $x_{V}<0.7$








First bin $0<\Delta \Phi<90^{\circ}$ :
$\chi^{2} /$ n.d.f. $=0.86857$
fitted photons $122 \pm 29$
Bin looks good

## $<\delta Z>$ Fits in $\Delta \Phi$ bins. $x_{v}<0.8$



First bin $0<\Delta \Phi<90^{\circ}$ :
$\chi^{2} /$ n.d.f. $=0.94718$
fitted photons $169 \pm 34$
Bin looks good

## $<\delta Z>$ Fits in $\Delta \Phi$ bins. $x_{v}>0.8$



First bin $0<\Delta \Phi<90^{\circ}$ :
$\chi^{2} /$ n.d.f. $=0.92611$
fitted photons $38 \pm 13$
Small statistics

## Major sources of systematics. $E_{T}{ }^{\vee}$ variation






Photon variables.
Vary $E_{T}{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ by $\pm 2 \%$.

## Major sources of systematics. $E_{T}{ }^{Y}$ variation






Jet variables.
Vary $E_{T}{ }^{\gamma}$ by $\pm 2 \%$.

## Major sources of systematics. HERWIG






Photon variables.
Use HERWIG model (signal and background) instead of PYTHIA for

- < $\delta Z>$ fits
- acceptance corrections calculation
- direct/resolved ratio determination


## Major sources of systematics. HERWIG






Jet variables.
Use HERWIG model (signal and background) instead of PYTHIA for

- < $\delta Z>$ fits
- acceptance corrections calculation
- direct/resolved ratio determination


## Major sources of systematics. Jet energy






Photon variables.
Vary jet energy independently from gamma energy:
If JetEt $\leq 6 \mathrm{GeV}$ by sqrt(4.*4. + 2.*2.))
If $6<\mathrm{JetEt} \leq 10 \mathrm{GeV}$ by sqrt(2.*2. +2.*2.))
If JetEt > 10 GeV vary by sqrt(1.5*1.5 + 2.*2.))

## Major sources of systematics. Jet energy






Photon variables.
Vary jet energy independently from gamma energy:
If JetEt $\leq 6 \mathrm{GeV}$ by sqrt(4.*4. + 2.*2.))
If $6<\mathrm{JetEt} \leq 10 \mathrm{GeV}$ by sqrt(2.*2. +2.*2.))
If JetEt > 10 GeV vary by sqrt(1.5*1.5 + 2.*2.))

## Control plots. $x_{V}<0.7$




## Control plots. $x_{v}<0.7$






## Control plots. $x_{v}>0.8$




## Control plots. $x_{v}>0.8$






## Systematic uncertainties

$$
x_{y}<0.7
$$



## $\eta^{\gamma}, X_{i}^{\text {meas }}>0.8$ Overall






 $\eta^{7}, \mathbf{X}_{\gamma}^{\text {meas }}>0.8$ UncorJE




## $\eta^{\gamma}, X_{\gamma}^{\text {meas }}>0.8$ Z-Vertex












## $\eta^{\gamma}, \mathbf{X}_{Y}^{\text {meas }}>0.8$ HERWIG





## $\eta^{\gamma}, X_{\gamma}^{\text {meas }}>\mathbf{0 . 8}$ fraction EMC






























- Rel.statistical uncertainties
- $10 \%$ line
$\bigcirc$ upper sum
- lower sum



- $-15 \%$ resolved
- $+15 \%$ resolved



$-10 \%$ line
- variation up
- variation down



——Rel.statistical uncertainties $\delta Z$
- $10 \%$ line
- $\left|Z_{\text {vertex }}\right|<45$
- $\left|Z_{\text {vertex }}\right|<35$



- $p_{\text {track }}>350 \mathrm{MeV}$
- $p_{\text {track }}>150 \mathrm{MeV}$



- $+5 \%$ Fragmentation
- $-5 \%$ Fragmentation



- Rel.statistical uncertainties
$10 \%$ line
- HERWIG



- Rel.statistical uncertainties
- $10 \%$ line
- Fraction EMC +0.025
- Fraction EMC -0.025



- Rel.statistical uncertainties
- $10 \%$ line
- $E_{\gamma}+2 \%$
- $E_{\gamma}-2 \%$


- $\delta Z$ fit range 1.0
- $\delta Z$ fit range 0.6



- $10 \%$ line

○ $\quad \delta R 0.3$

- $\quad \delta R 0.1$













## $\Delta \Phi$ Track Magnitude























## Systematic uncertainties $X_{y}<0.8$












































































- upper sum
- lower sum



- $-15 \%$ resolved
- $+15 \%$ resolved



- variation up
- variation down



——Rel.statistical uncertainties $\delta Z$
- $10 \%$ line
- $\left|Z_{\text {vertex }}\right|<45$
- $\left|Z_{\text {vertex }}\right|<35$


- $p_{\text {track }}>350 \mathrm{MeV}$
- $p_{\text {track }}>150 \mathrm{MeV}$



- $+5 \%$ Fragmentation
- $-5 \%$ Fragmentation



- Rel.statistical uncertainties
$10 \%$ line
- HERWIG



- Rel.statistical uncertainties
- $10 \%$ line
- Fraction EMC +0.025
- Fraction EMC -0.025



- Rel.statistical uncertainties
- $10 \%$ line
- $E_{\gamma}+2 \%$
- $E_{\gamma}-2 \%$


- $\delta Z$ fit range 1.0
- $\delta Z$ fit range 0.6



○ $\quad \delta R 0.3$

- $\quad \delta R 0.1$













## $\Delta \Phi$ Track Magnitude






















